

This document includes the Cover, Table of Contents, and Section 1.0 Introduction of the Draft EPA "Weather Deck Runoff Characterization Analysis Report" published in 2003. The reference number is: EPA-842-D-06-006

DRAFT Characterization Analysis Report Weather Deck Runoff

Cover, Table of Contents, and Section 1.0 Introduction

CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS REPORT

DECK RUNOFF DISCHARGES

Prepared by:

Naval Sea Systems Command U.S. Department of the Navy

Office of Water U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
2.0	AIR	CRAFT LAUNCH AND RECOVERY EQUIPMENT (ALRE)	4
	2.1	Arresting Gear	4
	2.2	Catapult operations	9
	2.3	Jet Blast Deflectors	12
	2.4	Performance Objective and Activities	14
3.0	BUO	OY MAINTENANCE	15
	3.1	Cleaning Compounds	15
	3.2	Painting and Preservation	
	3.3	Performance Objectives and Activities	18
4.0	CLE	ANING ACTIVITIES AND GENERAL HOUSEKEEPING	20
	4.1	Aircraft Washdown	
		4.1.1 AOE 6 Class	22
		4.1.2 CV/CVN 68 Class	23
		4.1.3 LHD 1 Class	24
		4.1.4 Cleaning Compounds	25
	4.2	Electronic Intelligence/Navigation Systems Maintenance	28
	4.3	Equipment and Vehicle Washdowns	28
	4.4	Exterior topside surface washdown	30
		4.4.1 AOE 6 Class	30
		4.4.2 CV/CVN 68 Class	31
		4.4.3 DDG 51 Class	33
		4.4.4 LHD 1 Class	33
		4.4.5 MCM 1 Class	35
		4.4.6 U.S. Army Vessels	35
		4.4.7 WLM 175 Class	35
		4.4.8 WAGB, WHEC, WMEC, WLI, WLIC, WLR, WTGB, WYTL, and WLB Classes	36
		4.4.9 WPB 110, WPB 87, and Vessels 55 Ft and Under	
		4.4.10 Cleaning Compounds	38
	4.5	Firemain Systems	41
	4.6	Performance Objective and Activities	41
5.0	DEC	CK MACHINERY AND WEAPONS LUBRICATION	44
	5.1	Aircraft Elevators	44
		5.1.1 CV/CVN 68 Class Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	44
		5.1.2 LHD 1 Class Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	45
	5.2	Buoy Handling Systems	46
	5.3	Fire Assist Vehicles	47
	5.4	Mine Handling Systems	47

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	5.5	Recovery, Assist, Securing, and Traversing (RAST) System		49
	5.6	Ship'	s Boats and Launching Systems	51
		5.6.1	AOE 6 Class	51
		5.6.2	DDG 51 Class	52
		5.6.3	MCM 1 Class	52
		5.6.4	WLM 175 Class	53
		5.6.5	WPB 110 Class	53
		5.6.6	Summary of Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants for Ship's Boats and Launching Systems	
		5.6.7	Painting and Preservation	57
		5.6.8	Cleaning Compounds	
	5.7	Store	s Handling Systems	58
		5.7.1	AOE 6 Class	
		5.7.2	DDG 51 Class	
		5.7.3	Summary of Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants for Stores Handling Systems	
	5.8	Towi	ng and Mooring Systems	62
	5.9	Wear	oon Systems	63
		5.9.1	AOE 6 Class	63
		5.9.2	DDG 51 Class	63
		5.9.3	MCM 1 Class	64
		5.9.4	WPB 110 Class	64
		5.9.5	Summary of Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants for Weapon Systems	64
	5.10	Perfo	rmance Objective and Activities	67
6.0	EXT	ERIO	R TOPSIDE SURFACE PRESERVATION	68
	6.1		oration of painted surfaces	
		6.1.1	AOE 6 Class	
		6.1.2	CV/CVN 68 Class	
		6.1.3	DDG 51 Class	68
		6.1.4	LHD 1 Class	69
		6.1.5	MCM 1 Class	69
		6.1.6	WLM 175 Class	69
		6.1.7	WPB 110 Class	70
		6.1.8	Painting and Preservation	70
	6.2	Fligh	t Deck Safety Nets	71
	6.3	Perfo	ormance Objective and Activities	71
7.0	VES	SEL, A	AIRCRAFT, AND VEHICLE REFUELING AND LUBRICATION	73
	7.1	•	aft Refueling	
		7.1.1	CV/CVN 68 Class Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	
		7.1.2	LHD 1 Class Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	
		7.1.3	AOE 6 Class Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	74
		7.1.4	Summary of Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants for Aircraft Refueling	75

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	7.2	Fixed	l Wing Aircraft maintenance and Operations	76
		7.2.1	CV/CVN 68 Class	76
		7.2.2	LHD 1 Class	77
		7.2.3	Summary of Fixed Wing Aircraft Maintenance and Operations	77
	7.3	Fuel	Transfer Systems	79
		7.3.1	AOE 6 Class	81
		7.3.2	DDG 51 Class	81
		7.3.3	MCM 1 Class	81
		7.3.4	WLM 175 Class	82
		7.3.5	WPB 110 Class	82
		7.3.6	Summary of Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants for Fuel Transfer Systems	82
	7.4	Grou	and Support Equipment	84
		7.4.1	AOE 6 Class	84
		7.4.2	CV/CVN Class	84
		7.4.3	LHD 1 Class	85
		7.4.4	U.S. Army Vessels	86
		7.4.5	Summary of Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants for Ground Support Equipment	86
	7.5	Rota	ry Wing Aircraft maintenance and Operations	88
		7.5.1	AOE 6 Class	88
		7.5.2	CV/CVN 68 Class	89
		7.5.3	DDG 51 Class	89
		7.5.4	LHD 1 Class	89
		7.5.5	Summary of Rotary Wing Aircraft Maintenance and Operations	90
	7.6	Perfo	ormance Objective and Activities	91
8.0	REE	EREN	NCES	93
J. J	TATI		₹ ♥±∅ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1— Potential Discharge Materials for Arresting Gear	8
Table 2-2— Narrative Parameters for Arresting Gear	8
Table 2-3— Potential Discharge Material for Catapult Operation	11
Table 2-4—Narrative Parameters for Catapult Operation	12
Table 2-5— Potential Discharge Material for Jet Blast Deflectors	13
Table 2-6—Narrative Parameters for Jet Blast Deflectors	13
Table 3-3— Potential Discharge Materials for Buoy Operations	17
Table 3-4— Narrative Parameters for Buoy Operations	18
Table 4-1— Estimated Quantities of Grease Fittings and Cleaning Compounds per Aircraft Washdown	25
Table 4-2— Estimated Quantities of Discharge per Aircraft for Each Aircraft Washdown	26
Table 4-3— Potential Discharge Materials for Aircraft Washdown	27
Table 4-4— Narrative Parameters for Aircraft Washdown	28
Table 4-5— Potential Discharge Materials for Equipment and Vehicle Washdowns	29
Table 4-6— Exterior Topside Surface Washdown Practices	39
Table 4-7— Potential Discharge Materials for Exterior Topside Surface Washdowns	40
Table 4-8—Narrative Parameters for Exterior Topside Surface Washdowns	41
Table 5-1— Potential Discharge Materials for Aircraft Elevators	45
Table 5-2—Narrative Parameters for Aircraft Elevators	46
Table 5-3— Potential Discharge Materials for Buoy Handling	47
Table 5-4— Narrative Parameters for Buoy Handling	47
Table 5-5— Potential Discharge Materials for Mine Handling Systems	48
Table 5-6—Narrative Parameters for Mine Handling Systems	49
Table 5-7—Potential Discharge Materials for Recovery, Assist, Securing and Traversing (RAST) system	50
Table 5-8—Narrative Parameters for Recovery, Assist, Securing and Traversing (RAST) System	51
Table 5-9— Potential Ship's Boats Constituents by Vessel Class	54
Table 5-10— Potential Discharge Materials for Ship's Boats	55
Table 5-11— Narrative Parameters for Ship's Boats	55
Table 5-12— Potential Ship's Boats Launching Systems Constituents by Vessel Class	56
Table 5-13— Potential Discharge Materials for Ship's Boats Launching Systems	56
Table 5-14— Narrative Parameters for Ship's Boats Launching Systems	57
Table 5-15—Boat Maintenance Procedures	58
Table 5-16— Potential Stores Handling Systems Constituents by Vessel Class	61
Table 5-17— Potential Discharge Materials for Stores Handling Systems	62
Table 5-18—Narrative Parameters for Stores Handling Systems.	62
Table 5-19— Potential Weapons Systems Constituents by Vessel Class	65
Table 5-20— Potential Discharge Materials for Weapon Systems	66
Table 5-21—Narrative Parameters for Weapon Systems	66

LIST OF TABLES

Table 6-1— Potential Discharge Materials for Restoration of Painted Surfaces	71
Table 7-1— Potential Discharge Materials for Aircraft Fueling	75
Table 7-2—Narrative Parameters for Aircraft Fueling	76
Table 7-3— Estimated Quantities of Discharge for Aircraft Operations, Fixed Wing	78
Table 7-4— Potential Discharge Materials for Aircraft Operations, Fixed Wing	78
Table 7-5—Narrative Parameters for Aircraft Operations, Fixed Wing	79
Table 7-6— Estimated Quantities of Fuel Transfer Systems Discharges	83
Table 7-7— Potential Discharge Materials for Fuel Transfer Systems	83
Table 7-8—Narrative Parameters for Fuel Transfer Systems	84
Table 7-9— Ground Support Equipment, CV/CVN Classes	85
Table 7-10— Potential Discharge Materials for Ground Support Equipment	87
Table 7-11— Narrative Parameters for Ground Support Equipment	88
Table 7-12— Potential Discharge Materials for Aircraft Operations, Rotary Wing	90
Table 7-13—Narrative Parameters for Aircraft Operations, Rotary Wing	91

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1.	Arresting Gear Diagram	5
_	Emergency Landing Barricade	
Figure 2-3.	Arresting Gear	7
Figure 2-4.	Catapults with Jet Blast Deflectors	9
Figure 5-1.	Cross Section of a Navy RAST System	50
Figure 5-2.	Underway Replenishment (UNREP) Transfer of Stores	59
Figure 5-3.	UNREP Replenishment at Sea (RAS) Kingpost with Sliding Padeye	60
Figure 7-1.	Fueling at Sea.	80

DRAFT	LIST OF APPENDICES
JKAFI	LIST OF AFFENDICES

APPENDIX A – Class Specific Process Matrix	97

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Deck runoff was defined as the precipitation, washdowns, and seawater falling on the weather deck of a vessel and discharged overboard through deck openings in 40 CFR 1700.4 (1999). A vessel intermittently produces deck runoff when water falls on or is applied to the exposed surfaces, such as weather and flight decks, superstructure, bulkheads, and the hull above the waterline of a vessel (e.g., freeboard and bulwark). Discharge constituents vary depending on the vessel's topside processes, and may include oil, grease, petroleum hydrocarbons, surfactants, cleaning compounds, glycols, solvents, salt, and particulates (e.g., soot, dirt, or metallic particles). All vessels generate deck runoff.¹

The Uniform National Discharge Standards (UNDS) deck runoff shipboard assessment team (referred to hereafter as the 'survey team') visited 13 vessels representing 9 different Navy and U.S. Coast Guard vessel classes to determine how various topside processes contribute to deck runoff within 12 nautical miles (nm) of the U.S. and territorial coastlines. The survey team, comprised of three topside equipment experts, conducted pierside and at-sea assessments to observe and document topside equipment and processes, cleaning practices, and their associated materials. In addition, the survey team solicited crew input regarding potential methods to reduce or eliminate discharge constituents.

Prior to performing the shipboard assessments, the survey team conducted a comprehensive evaluation of all vessel classes regulated by UNDS. All vessels listed in the document "Ships Applicable to UNDS – Vessel Class Listing with Number of Vessels per Class (Active Vessels Only)" were analyzed to determine similarities (Wenzel, et. al., 1999). The evaluation considered vessel mission, topside equipment, weather deck surface area, age, and number of vessels in each class. Based on the evaluation, each class of vessel was placed into one of nine platform categories: (1) air capable, carrier; (2) air capable, amphibious assault; (3) surface combatant; (4) auxiliary; (5) service craft; (6) towed support; (7) patrol/small craft; (8) submersible; and (9) research vessel.

The survey team developed a list of class-specific topside processes and equipment having the potential to contribute to deck runoff. All information concerning the topside processes was compiled to identify specific classes of vessels to survey; ensuring data were obtained multiple times on each topside process. A copy of the class-specific process matrix is provided in Appendix A. After compiling the list, the survey team determined that vessels representative of the towed support, submersible, and research vessel categories would not be surveyed. The rationale for this decision was: (1) these vessels do not have unique topside equipment; (2) these vessels do not perform unique maintenance processes topside; and (3) a survey of these vessels would not be expected to provide unique data. The survey team presented the survey approach to the UNDS Deck Runoff Discharge Assessment Team (DAT), comprised of representatives from the Navy (USN), U.S. Coast Guard (USCG), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and obtained their concurrence.

_

¹ Water from precipitation, washdowns, and seawater that falls on or is applied to exposed surfaces and accumulates in the lowest part of the vessel is classified as surface vessel bilgewater. Associated characterization analyses are presented in the Surface Vessel Bilgewater Characterization Analysis Report.

In an attempt to obtain anecdotal quantitative as well as qualitative data, the survey team queried each sailor performing maintenance on topside equipment regarding the amount of materials used as well as the amount that is exposed to the environment following completion of the maintenance process. Unfortunately, responses varied significantly during the first two shipboard assessments. For example, one survey team member asked a sailor how much grease he had applied to a 5" gun chase; his response was 2 oz. The survey team then queried several sailors who were also working on the gun mount; responses ranged from 2 oz to 1 lb. Because the grease on the gun chase was exposed, the survey team was able to visually examine the gun chase and concluded that it contained approximately 1 lb of grease. These types of discrepancies occurred several times when investigating different maintenance processes. The survey team concluded that quantitative data obtained during shipboard surveys for material exposed to the environment would only be documented when a survey team member could verify the estimated amount. The survey team again presented this finding and recommendation to the UNDS Deck Runoff DAT and obtained their concurrence. To ensure the survey team could accurately estimate the amount of material exposed to the environment, they conducted laboratory tests designed to provide a visual baseline for comparison purposes. These tests were conducted at Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Division (NSWCCD). The tests involved visual calibrations of liquid spills and grease dispersions. Typical grease guns were used to determine the quantity of grease that would be dispersed with one, two, or three pumps on the handle of the gun into a grease fitting. In addition, varying quantities of liquids, such as JP-5 (MIL-DTL-5624T), were spilled on deck surfaces to allow the observers to better quantify their visual assessments. The results were not exact, but this exercise provided a common baseline for all observers (Wenzel et al., 2001a).

This characterization report is organized into the six main categories that contribute to deck runoff. Each category is comprised of one or more related processes that occur topside on Armed Forces vessels. Within each category, this report provides a brief explanation of the processes included in that category, summarizes the observations of the survey team; and presents the constituent contribution, where available, from each process. The report presents the performance objective, as defined in the topside management plan (TMP), and possible activities that could be performed to achieve that objective for each category. For the purposes of deck runoff, an objective describes the desired potential controls and expected results. Finally, the report provides a qualitative discussion of the constituents to be controlled by each activity.

When reviewing this characterization report, it is important to recognize the following:

- Constituents that fall to the deck from a variety of activities may become trapped in the rough deck surface (defined as crevices, corners, and other irregularities of a deck surface), even after clean up attempts, and these residuals may subsequently become entrained in washwater and/or rainfall and wash overboard within 12 nm. A field study to determine quantifiable amounts for these residual constituents was deemed impractical by the survey team and the Deck Runoff DAT. Some of the data presented in this report are estimates based on survey team observations.
- Information was obtained on each identified process until the survey team reached a consensus that enough data had been gathered to be representative of the Fleet. Once a consensus was reached, the survey team ceased gathering data related to that specific

process on subsequent shipboard assessments. For example, data on small boats were not gathered during the final assessments (LHD 1 and CV/CVN 68 Class vessels).

- The following sections summarize the observations of the survey team. However, due to individual vessel operational scenarios, maintenance requirements and practices, the observations may not be representative of all vessels in the same vessel class.
- The primary information identified for some materials is information contained in the military specification (mil spec) or the material safety data sheet (MSDS). Proprietary compound's bulk constituents therefore are listed as unknown.